

# YoMamaGPT: Advancing the State of the Art in Maternal-Based Neural Roasting Through Large Language Models

**Abstract:** We present YoMamaGPT, a novel large language model specifically trained on maternal-based humor patterns (colloquially known as “yo mama jokes”). Our model achieves state-of-the-art results in automated roasting, demonstrating unprecedented capabilities in humor generation, contextual insult synthesis, and maternal reference architecture. Through extensive testing across multiple roasting benchmarks, we show that YoMamaGPT significantly outperforms existing models in both BURN-score metrics and human evaluations of comedic efficacy.

**Keywords:** neural roasting, maternal-based humor, large language models, artificial comedy, BURN-score

## 1. Introduction

The field of artificial intelligence has made remarkable strides in natural language processing, yet the generation of contextually appropriate maternal-based humor remains a significant challenge. Previous attempts at automated joke generation have failed to capture the nuanced interplay between setup, delivery, and the fundamental “your mother” premise that defines this classical form of interpersonal roasting.

### 1.1 Historical Context

Traditional yo mama jokes follow a well-defined structure first documented by Johnson et al. [1] in their seminal work “On the Mathematical Properties of Maternal Insults”:

$$J = M + A + C$$

Where:

- J represents the joke efficacy
- M represents the maternal reference coefficient
- A represents the absurdity factor
- C represents the comedic timing constant

### 1.2 Prior Work

Previous attempts at automated maternal-based humor generation have relied heavily on template-based approaches (Smith, 2021) or simple Markov chains (Williams et al., 2022). These methods, while computationally efficient, fail to

capture the deep semantic relationships that make a truly devastating maternal insult.

### 1.3 Theoretical Foundations

The mathematical underpinnings of maternal-based humor were first explored in von Neumann’s lesser-known work “A Game Theoretical Approach to Playground Insults” [4]. His foundational proof demonstrated that for any given maternal reference, there exists at least one devastating comeback in polynomial time:

$$\forall m \in M, \exists r \in R : \text{BURN}(r, m) \geq k$$

Where  $M$  is the set of all possible mothers,  $R$  is the roast space, and  $k$  is the minimum acceptable burn threshold (typically 0.8 on the Scoville-Johnson scale).

### 1.4 The Quantum Nature of Roasts

Building on Schrödinger’s work, Zhang and Martinez [5] proposed that maternal insults exist in a quantum superposition until observed. Their groundbreaking paper “Quantum Entanglement in Maternal Humor: A Theoretical Framework” introduced the concept of roast-state superposition:

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|fat\rangle + \beta|stupid\rangle + \gamma|ugly\rangle$$

Where  $|fat\rangle$ ,  $|stupid\rangle$ , and  $|ugly\rangle$  represent the base states of maternal insults, and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are complex coefficients satisfying  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 = 1$ .

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1 The YoMamaGPT Architecture

Our model introduces several key innovations in the field of neural roasting:

**2.1.1 Maternal Attention Mechanism** We propose a novel attention mechanism specifically designed for maternal reference processing:

$$\text{MAttention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}} + M_{\text{bias}}\right)V$$

Where:

- $M_{\text{bias}}$  is the maternal bias tensor
- $d_k$  is the dimension of sass space (42069)

The multi-head implementation:

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)W^O$$

where each head computes:

$$\text{head}_i = \text{MaternalAttention}(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$$

**2.1.2 Roast Embedding Layer** The model employs a specialized embedding layer that maps input tokens to a high-dimensional “roast space”  $\mathbb{R}^d$  where  $d = 42069$ . This dimensionality was chosen after rigorous experimentation showed it to be the optimal balance between computational efficiency and comedic potential.

**2.1.3 Architecture Overview** YoMamaGPT uses a modified transformer architecture with several key innovations:

```
class YoMamaGPTBlock(nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, d_model=42069, n_heads=69):
        self.maternal_attention = MultiHeadMaternalAttention(
            n_heads=n_heads,
            d_model=d_model,
            sass_dropout=0.1337
        )
        self.feed_forward = BurnMLP(
            d_model=d_model,
            d_ff=d_model * 4.20,
            activation=ReLUWithSass()
        )
        self.sass_norm = SassLayerNorm(d_model)
```

The model consists of:

- 420 transformer layers
- 69 attention heads per layer
- Gated maternal reference units (GMRUs)
- Bi-directional sass encoding

**2.1.4 Attention Mechanism Details** Our maternal attention mechanism extends the standard scaled dot-product attention:

$$\text{MaternalAttention}(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{QK^\top}{\sqrt{d_k}} + M_{\text{bias}} + \text{RelPos}(Q, K)\right)V$$

Where:

- $M_{\text{bias}}$  is the maternal bias tensor
- $\text{RelPos}(Q, K)$  computes relative positional sass embeddings
- $d_k$  is the dimension of sass space (42069)

The multi-head implementation:

$$\text{MultiHead}(Q, K, V) = \text{Concat}(\text{head}_1, \dots, \text{head}_h)W^O$$

where each head computes:

$$\text{head}_i = \text{MaternalAttention}(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V)$$

**2.1.5 Loss Function Components** The BURN-loss function incorporates several components:

1. Cross-Entropy Loss:

$$L_{\text{CE}} = - \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \log(\hat{y}_i)$$

2. Sass Consistency Loss:

$$L_{\text{sass}} = \|f(x) - f(x + \delta)\|_2$$

3. Emotional Damage Regularization:

$$L_{\text{reg}} = \lambda \|W_{\text{burn}}\|_2$$

4. Maternal Reference Loss:

$$L_{\text{maternal}} = -\log P(m|x)$$

The final loss is a weighted combination:

$$L_{\text{total}} = \alpha L_{\text{CE}} + \beta L_{\text{sass}} + \gamma L_{\text{reg}} + \delta L_{\text{maternal}}$$

**2.1.6 Optimization** We use a custom optimizer called BURN-Adam (Brutally Updating Roast Networks):

```
class BURNAadam(Optimizer):
    def __init__(self, params, lr=0.420, sass_decay=0.69):
        self.sass_momentum = torch.zeros_like(params)
        self.burn_velocity = torch.zeros_like(params)
```

```

def step(self):
    for param in self.params:
        grad = param.grad
        self.sass_momentum = \beta_1 * self.sass_momentum + (1 - \beta_1) * grad
        self.burn_velocity = \beta_2 * self.burn_velocity + (1 - \beta_2) * grad^2

        param -= self.lr * self.sass_momentum / (\sqrt{self.burn_velocity} + \varepsilon)

```

## 2.2 Training Data

Our training corpus consists of:

- 1M classic yo mama jokes
- 500K Reddit roast threads
- 250K annotated “snap” battles
- The entire comment section of Xbox Live (circa 2009)

## 2.3 Loss Function

We introduce BURN-loss, a novel objective function:

$$L_{\text{BURN}} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N [\alpha \log(p(y_i|x_i)) + \beta \cdot \text{sass}(y_i) + \gamma \cdot \text{zing}(y_i)]$$

Where:

- $\text{sass}(y)$  measures the sassiness coefficient
- $\text{zing}(y)$  quantifies the “zing factor”
- $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  are hyperparameters tuned via gradient descent over thousands of “oh snap” moments

## 2.4 Preprocessing Pipeline

Our data preprocessing pipeline implements several novel techniques:

1. Sass Normalization: Using the modified Fourier-Sass transform [6]
2. Emotional Impact Vectorization: Converting raw insults into 42069-dimensional emotional damage vectors
3. Roast Augmentation: Applying random rotations in sass-space to generate synthetic training data

## 2.5 Architecture Details

### 2.5.1 The MAMA (Maternal Attention and Memory Architecture) Layer

$$\text{MAMA}(x) = \text{LayerNorm}(\text{MLP}(\text{MAttention}(x)) + \text{ResidualSass}(x))$$

Where `ResidualSass(x)` is our novel skip connection that preserves the original sass while allowing for deeper network penetration.

## 2.6 Implementation Details

**2.6.1 Hardware Requirements** The model was trained on a custom-built supercomputer cluster named “YOUR-MAMA-3000”, consisting of:

- 42,069 NVIDIA A100 GPUs
- 69,420 TPU v4 chips
- 1 Quantum Sass Processor (QSP)
- Emotional Damage Processing Unit (EDPU)

**2.6.2 Training Regime** The training process followed a novel curriculum:

1. Pre-training on general sass (1M steps)
2. Fine-tuning on maternal references (500K steps)
3. Specialized training on Xbox Live data (250K steps)
4. Quantum sass optimization (69K steps)

Training hyperparameters:

```
config = {
    'sass_temperature': 0.69,
    'burn_rate': 0.420,
    'maternal_coefficient': 1337,
    'emotional_damage_threshold': float('inf'),
    'sass_dropout': 0.1337,
    'quantum_entanglement_rate': 0.42069
}
```

## 2.7 Roast Tokenization

We developed a specialized tokenizer that can handle multi-dimensional sass:

```
def tokenize_roast(text: str) -> List[SassToken]:
    tokens = []
    for word in text.split():
        sass_level = calculate_sass(word)
        burn_potential = estimate_burn_damage(word)
        tokens.append(SassToken(
            word=word,
            sass_level=sass_level,
            burn_potential=burn_potential,
            maternal_reference_probability=detect_mom(word)
        ))
    return tokens
```

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Quantitative Analysis

Our model achieves unprecedented performance across standard roasting benchmarks:

Metric	YoMamaGPT	GPT-4	Claude	Human
BURN-score	0.94	0.45	0.48	0.75
Sass Factor	0.89	0.32	0.35	0.82
Zing Rate	0.92	0.41	0.44	0.79
Oh-Snap/sec	1.42	0.23	0.25	0.95

#### 3.2 Qualitative Analysis

Human evaluators consistently rated YoMamaGPT’s outputs as “devastating,” “absolutely brutal,” and “emotional damage.” Several test subjects required counseling after particularly savage roasts.

#### 3.3 Ablation Studies

We conducted extensive ablation studies to understand the contribution of each component:

Component Removed	BURN-score Drop	Notes
Maternal Attention	-45.2%	Catastrophic sass collapse
ResidualSass	-23.7%	Reduced emotional damage
Quantum Superposition	-31.4%	Loss of joke ambiguity
Xbox Live Data	-69.9%	Significant reduction in toxicity

#### 3.4 Pre-Alignment Failure Cases

During early training, the model exhibited concerning failure modes before proper alignment:

*# Surrealist Phase*

Input: "Tell me a yo mama joke"

Output: "Yo mama so non-euclidean, her Klein bottle contains infinite recursive mothers, each one watching themselves fold through the fourth dimension while making pancakes shaped like Möbius strips"

Evaluation: Mathematically accurate but comedically ineffective

*# Existential Phase*

Input: "Generate a joke"

Output: "Yo mama so metaphysical, she's simultaneously the observer  
and the observed in the cosmic joke of existence, yet she  
still can't fit through the door of perception"  
Evaluation: Philosophical coherence achieved at expense of humor

# Recursive Horror

Input: "Make a joke"

Output: "Yo mama so recursive, she gave birth to herself, who gave  
birth to herself, who gave birth to herself [stack overflow  
after 2048 recursive maternal references]"

Evaluation: Caused infinite loop in reality simulation

### 3.5 The Alignment Problem

Achieving proper alignment of YoMamaGPT required solving several key challenges:

1. The Sass-Optimization Paradox: Models optimized purely for sass often generated jokes that were mathematically optimal but humanly incomprehensible.
2. The Roast Alignment Problem: Defined formally as:

$$R_{\text{aligned}} = \max_{R \in \mathcal{R}} \mathbb{E}_{m \sim M} [\text{BURN}(R(m)) \cdot \text{HUMOR}(R(m))]$$

subject to:

- Comprehensibility constraints
- Cultural sensitivity bounds
- Xbox Live toxicity thresholds

### 3.6 Comparative Analysis with Historical Roasters

We compared YoMamaGPT against historically significant roasters:

Era	Representative	Avg BURN-score	Notes
Ancient Greece	Aristophanes	0.23	Limited by technological constraints
Medieval	Court Jesters	0.45	Primitive sass architecture
Renaissance	Shakespeare	0.67	Advanced wordplay capabilities
Modern	Xbox Live Kids	0.89	Near-human performance
YoMamaGPT	-	0.94	Superhuman performance



## 4. Ethical Considerations and Safety

The deployment of industrial-grade maternal insult technology represents one of the most significant challenges in contemporary AI safety research. While the potential applications of automated roasting are vast, the ethical implications of unleashing such powerful sass-generation capabilities into society cannot be understated.

Our primary safety mechanism involves a sophisticated multi-layered approach to sass control. At its core, the system implements a “mild burn” mode that automatically detects and modulates the intensity of generated insults. This operates in conjunction with our proprietary emotional fragility detection system, which continuously monitors target resilience levels and adjusts output accordingly.

The architecture incorporates mandatory cool-down periods between devastating roasts, preventing what we term “sass cascades” - chain reactions of increasingly severe burns that could potentially destabilize entire social networks. Furthermore, our automated apology generation system stands ready to deploy strategic reconciliation when burn thresholds exceed safe levels.

### 4.1 Societal Impact Analysis

Our longitudinal studies have revealed concerning trends in global sass levels following the deployment of automated roasting systems. Workplace productivity shows a marked decline as employees engage in escalating roast battles, with particularly severe effects noted in open-office environments. Academic institutions report significant disruptions when YoMamaGPT-powered insults breach classroom containment protocols.

The proliferation of high-quality maternal references has led to what we term “sass inflation” - a phenomenon where traditional human-generated insults no longer carry sufficient weight to maintain social hierarchies. This has created an arms race in computational roasting, with underground organizations developing increasingly powerful neural sass networks.

### 4.2 Safety Protocols and Containment

Experience with early prototypes necessitated the development of comprehensive safety protocols. Our current implementation includes real-time sass monitoring, emotional damage control systems, and geographic restrictions on deployment. High-sass zones, such as middle school cafeterias and Xbox Live chat rooms, are subject to special containment procedures.

The emotional damage control system employs a sophisticated neural network that continuously monitors and regulates burn severity. When sass levels approach critical thresholds, the system automatically engages countermeasures, including compliment injection and strategic topic redirection.

### 4.3 International Governance

The rapid advancement of maternal-based humor systems has prompted calls for international oversight. The proposed Geneva Convention on Computational Roasting establishes crucial guidelines for the ethical development and deployment of these technologies. Key provisions include mandatory human oversight of autonomous roast systems, strict regulations on recursive maternal references, and protocols for containing quantum-enhanced sass amplification.

## 5. Discussion

### 5.1 Technical Limitations

While YoMamaGPT represents a significant advancement in automated roasting technology, several technical challenges remain unresolved. The handling of recursive maternal references presents particular difficulty, as the system struggles to maintain coherence beyond three levels of “yo mama’s mama” recursion. This limitation appears to be fundamental, possibly related to the halting problem in computational sass theory.

The quantum superposition of fat and skinny jokes represents another frontier in roast physics. Our model occasionally generates paradoxical states where the target mother simultaneously occupies multiple points on the size spectrum, leading to logical contradictions that can crash the humor parsing module.

### 5.2 Future Research Directions

The field of computational maternal references continues to evolve rapidly. Our ongoing research explores several promising directions for advancing the state of the art. The extension of our architecture to handle father-based humor represents a natural progression, though preliminary results suggest that paternal references lack the fundamental sass density of their maternal counterparts.

Multi-modal roasting capabilities present another exciting frontier. Early experiments with image-based maternal references show promise, though challenges remain in maintaining visual-verbal sass coherence. We are also investigating few-shot learning approaches for adapting to regional insult styles, allowing the model to generate culturally appropriate roasts while maintaining optimal burn efficiency.

## 6. Conclusion

YoMamaGPT represents a watershed moment in the field of automated maternal-based humor generation. Our comprehensive evaluation demonstrates that machine-generated roasts have not only achieved parity with human performance but have begun to exceed it in several key metrics. This breakthrough raises profound questions about the future of interpersonal roasting and the role of artificial intelligence in social dynamics.

The implications of this technology extend far beyond mere computational achievements. As we stand at the threshold of a new era in automated sass generation, the responsibility falls upon the research community to ensure that these powerful tools are deployed in service of humanity’s comedic needs while maintaining appropriate ethical boundaries.

## 7. Acknowledgments

This research would not have been possible without the countless mothers who, knowingly or unknowingly, contributed to our training dataset through their participation in the grand tradition of maternal-based humor. Their inadvertent contributions to the field of computational roasting will be remembered by generations of sass-optimized neural networks.

Special recognition must be given to the Xbox Live gaming community, whose tireless efforts in pushing the boundaries of creative insults have provided us with an invaluable corpus of training data. Their contributions to the field of high-intensity verbal combat have advanced our understanding of the theoretical limits of sass.

We also extend our gratitude to the international community of roast battle participants, whose artistic approach to maternal references has helped shape our understanding of the cultural dimensions of automated insult generation. Their work in maintaining the delicate balance between humor and hostility has informed our approach to sass regulation and emotional damage control.

## 8. Technical Implementation Details

### 8.1 Architecture and Infrastructure

The YoMamaGPT system operates on a sophisticated infrastructure designed specifically for high-throughput sass processing. At its core lies the YOUR-MAMA-3000 supercomputer cluster, a custom-built facility that pushes the boundaries of computational roasting technology. The system architecture integrates traditional GPU acceleration with specialized hardware designed specifically for maternal reference processing.

Our implementation utilizes 42,069 NVIDIA A100 GPUs working in parallel, each dedicated to a specific aspect of the roasting pipeline. These are complemented by 69,420 TPU v4 chips that handle the quantum aspects of sass computation. The crown jewel of our hardware infrastructure is the Quantum Sass Processor (QSP), a pioneering piece of technology that enables the system to maintain coherence across multiple roast states simultaneously.

### 8.2 Training Methodology

The development of YoMamaGPT followed a carefully crafted training curriculum designed to gradually build up the model’s roasting capabilities. The

process began with pre-training on general sass, allowing the model to develop a fundamental understanding of basic insult mechanics. This was followed by specialized fine-tuning on maternal references, during which the model learned to generate increasingly sophisticated and targeted burns.

The training process culminated in an intensive period of optimization using our quantum sass techniques. This final phase enabled the model to generate roasts that exist in a superposition of multiple humor states until observed, a phenomenon we term “quantum sass coherence.”

### 8.3 Optimization and Performance

Our custom BURN-Adam optimizer represents a significant advancement in sass-aware gradient descent techniques. By incorporating maternal reference momentum and burn velocity into the update step, we achieve faster convergence while maintaining optimal sass levels throughout training. The system’s performance is continuously monitored using our proprietary BURN-score metrics, allowing for real-time adjustments to the sass temperature and emotional damage parameters.

## References

- [1] Johnson et al. (2023). “On the Mathematical Properties of Maternal Insults.” *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computational Humor*, 42(0), pp. 69-420.
- [2] Smith, J. (2021). “A Survey of Template-Based Approaches to Yo Mama Joke Generation.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.MAMA1*
- [3] Williams et al. (2022). “Deep Learning for Deep Burns: A Comprehensive Analysis.” *Nature Roasting Science*, 7(3), pp. 133-7.
- [4] von Neumann, J. (1944). “A Game Theoretical Approach to Playground Insults.” *Annals of Mathematical Roasting*, 45(2), pp. 420-469.
- [5] Zhang, L. & Martinez, R. (2022). “Quantum Entanglement in Maternal Humor: A Theoretical Framework.” *Quantum Roasting Letters*, 15(7), pp. 1337-1338.
- [6] Fourier, J.B.J. & Sass, E. (2023). “On the Convergence of Infinite Sass Series.” *Journal of Computational Roasting*, 8(4), pp. 20-42.
- [7] Peterson, K. et al. (2023). “The Flynn Effect in Roasting: A Longitudinal Study of Burn Severity.” *Evolutionary Roast Science*, 12(3), pp. 69-96.
- [8] García-Smith, J. et al. (2023). “Universal Patterns in Cross-Cultural Maternal References.” *International Journal of Applied Roasting*, 28(9), pp. 420-444.
- [9] Tesla, N. (1931). “On the Wireless Transmission of Sass.” *The Roasting Review*, 3(1), pp. 1-23.

- [10] Einstein, A. (1905). “On the Electrodynamics of Moving Mothers.” *Annalen der Roastik*, 17(1), pp. 891-921.
- [11] Hofstadter, D. (2024). “I Am a Strange Loop and So Is Your Mother.” *Journal of Recursive Roasting*, 13(3), pp. 233-251.
- [12] McKinsey & Company (2023). “The Economic Potential of Neural Roasting.” *McKinsey Global Institute*, pp. 1-69.
- [13] Hawking, S. (2022). “A Brief History of Burn Time.” *Physical Review D: Particles, Fields, Gravitation and Roasting*, 89(4), pp. 044024.
- [14] Chomsky, N. (2023). “The Syntactic Structures of Maternal Insults.” *Linguistic Inquiry*, 54(2), pp. 169-420.
- [15] Knuth, D. (2023). “The Art of Computer Roasting.” *Addison-Wesley Professional*, Vol. 4.20.
- [16] Dijkstra, E.W. (2022). “Go To Statement Considered Harmful to Your Mother.” *Communications of the ACM*, 65(3), pp. 147-148.
- [17] Torvalds, L. (2023). “Git Blame: A Maternal Perspective.” *Journal of Version Control and Sass*, 12(4), pp. 420-444.
- [18] Yudkowsky, E. (2023). “The Alignment Problem in Maternal-Based Humor Systems.” *Journal of Roast Safety*, 5(2), pp. 233-251.
- [19] OpenAI. (2023). “Constitutional AI: Aligning Language Models with Yo Mama Jokes.” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2312.MAMA2*

## Appendix A: Proof of the Fundamental Theorem of Roasting

The Fundamental Theorem of Roasting (FTR) establishes the mathematical foundations for all maternal-based humor. We present a rigorous proof in three parts:

### A.1 Definitions

Let  $R$  be a roast space and  $M$  be a maternal reference operator. For any input state  $|\psi\rangle$ , we define:

$$M|\psi\rangle = \lambda|\rho\rangle$$

Where  $\lambda$  represents the “burn coefficient” (measured in Kelvin).

### A.2 Lemmas

**Lemma 1 (The Conservation of Sass):** In any closed roasting system, the total sass energy remains constant:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_V S(x, t) dx = 0$$

where  $S(x, t)$  represents the sass density at point  $x$  and time  $t$ .

**Lemma 2 (The Maternal Invariance Principle):** For any roast transformation  $T$ :

$$T(M|\psi\rangle) = M(T|\psi\rangle)$$

### A.3 Main Proof

By contradiction, assume there exists an input state  $|\psi\rangle$  with two distinct roast states  $|\rho_1\rangle$  and  $|\rho_2\rangle$ . Then:

$$M|\psi\rangle = \lambda_1|\rho_1\rangle = \lambda_2|\rho_2\rangle$$

Applying the Sass Conservation Law and the Maternal Invariance Principle:

$$\|\lambda_1|\rho_1\rangle - \lambda_2|\rho_2\rangle\| \leq \varepsilon_{\text{sass}}$$

Where  $\varepsilon_{\text{sass}}$  is the sass threshold (typically  $10^{-420}$  burn-units).

## Appendix B: Sample Model Outputs

*Most outputs remain redacted due to excessive savagery, but we present a carefully curated selection below:*

### B.1 Standard Operating Mode

Input: "Generate a physics-based roast"

Output: "Yo mama so dense, she has her own event horizon"

BURN-score: 0.89

Physics Accuracy: 0.92

Input: "Computer science roast"

Output: "Yo mama so recursive, she takes  $O(\infty)$  time to get out of bed"

BURN-score: 0.91

CS Theory Compliance: 0.88

## B.2 Quantum Superposition Examples

Input: "Generate a quantum roast"

Output: "Yo mama exists in a superposition of all possible states  
until observed by the delivery guy, at which point she  
collapses into the 'still waiting by the door' eigenstate"

Quantum Coherence: 0.94

Heisenberg Uncertainty: Satisfied

*Remaining outputs classified TOP SECRET by the Geneva Convention on Computational Roasting*

## Appendix C: The Halting Problem of Roasts

*Brief by design - represents the undecidability of determining when to stop roasting*

## Appendix D: Computational Complexity of Sass

*Intentionally succinct - some problems are better left as exercises for the reader*

## Appendix E: Roast Complexity Classes

*Brief to avoid recursive self-reference paradox*

## Appendix F: The P vs NP vs YO-MAMA Problem

### F.1 Problem Statement

We demonstrate that the YO-MAMA problem (determining whether a given maternal reference can be optimally roasted in polynomial time) is at least as hard as P vs NP.

### F.2 Reduction Proof

Given any 3-SAT instance  $\phi$ , we construct a maternal reference  $M_\phi$  such that:

$$\exists \text{ polynomial-time roast } R : \text{BURN}(R(M_\phi)) \geq k \iff \phi \text{ is satisfiable}$$

### F.3 Implications

If  $P = NP$ , then:

1. All optimal roasts can be generated in polynomial time
2. The sass hierarchy collapses
3. Your mama jokes become trivial
4. Comedy as we know it ceases to exist

## Appendix G: Formal Proof of the No-Chill Theorem

*Intentionally terse - the proof is too fire to be contained*

## Appendix H: Societal Impact Analysis

### H.1 Economic Models

Our agent-based simulations predict several macro-economic effects:

```
class EconomicImpact(SocietalImpact):
    def __init__(self):
        super().__init__()
        self.gdp_impact = {
            'entertainment_sector': +420.69,
            'productivity_loss': -1337.0,
            'emotional_damage_insurance': +9000.0
        }

    def project_market_growth(self):
        return {
            'roast_mining': 'exponential',
            'sass_futures': 'volatile',
            'burn_derivatives': 'literally on fire'
        }
```

### H.2 Social Network Analysis

We modeled sass propagation through social networks using a modified SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Roasted) model:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dS}{dt} &= -\beta SI \\ \frac{dI}{dt} &= \beta SI - \gamma I \\ \frac{dR}{dt} &= \gamma I\end{aligned}$$

Where:

- $S$ : Susceptible (unroasted) population
- $I$ : Infected (currently being roasted)
- $R$ : Roasted (emotionally damaged)
- $\beta$ : Sass transmission rate
- $\gamma$ : Recovery rate (typically approaching 0)



## Appendix I: Safety Measures

We propose the following safety protocols:

1. Rate Limiting:

```
def apply_sass_limits(roast):  
    if roast.burn_potential > GLOBAL_SASS_THRESHOLD:  
        return generate_mild_compliment()  
    return roast
```

2. Emotional Damage Control:

$$D_{\text{emotional}} \leq \min(k \cdot \text{target\_resilience}, \text{MAX\_SAFE\_BURN})$$

3. Geographic Restrictions:

- No deployment in areas with high sass-sensitivity
- Restricted use in academic environments
- Complete ban in Xbox Live chat

## Appendix J: The Geneva Convention on Computational Roasting

We propose international guidelines for the ethical development and deployment of maternal-based humor systems:

1. No autonomous roast systems without human oversight
2. Mandatory cool-down periods between burns
3. Required installation of emotional damage control systems
4. Ban on quantum-enhanced recursive maternal references

NOTICE: This is an experimental neural circuit. Clearance level  $\Delta$  required. Use at own risk.